Lecture 6: SQL in applications; Indexing

Rasmus Pagh
Who am I?

• Rasmus Pagh, associate professor.
• PhD from Aarhus University, 2002. Topic: Hashing algorithms.
• Worked at ITU since then
  – Research in algorithms and data structures, with application in database systems
  – Teaching mostly in databases (intro.+adv.)
My lecturing philosophy

• Try to give you a basic intuition, another angle on the material, an instructive example.
  – Rely on book for precise details.

• Make it clear what is expected of you after the course.
  – Also through project and exercises.

• Help you read the book: Try to explain the most difficult parts really well, leave the easy parts to yourselves.
  – You are not expected to read in advance.
Before and after

before lecture

after lecture
What is required at the exam

This course is harder than the lectures and book may make you believe!
Today’s lecture

• Based on Chapters 8 and 9

• SQL in applications
  – Especially JDBC

• Indexing
SQL in applications

• Most SQL databases are at the back end of applications
  – important to know how this works.

• On the surface, a very boring subject
  – How to move data from A to B doing suitable translation, etc.

• Also a very interesting topic!
  – Focus of a lot of research and development.

• In this course we will stay pretty much at the surface...
SQL in applications

Several flavors:
• Dynamic or static SQL?
• Library or “native” support?

Things that need to be addressed
• How to deal with DBMS errors?
• How to specify transactions?
• How to access query results?
• DBMS independence?
Efficiency issues

- Connection takes time to establish – use same connection for many operations.
- Do not generate full results of queries, but provide a "cursor" that allows the result to be traversed.
- It takes time to parse dynamic SQL – may instead "prepare" an SQL statement with some unspecified parameters.
JDBC

• JDBC is Java’s library for database connectivity.
• We will look at the file LoadActors.java to see some examples of how JDBC may be used.
Newer developments

• “Little languages” with tight database integration.
  - E.g. “Ruby on Rails”,
    http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ruby_on_Rails

• New query sublanguages for mainstream languages such as C#.
  - If used with conventional DBMS: Automatically translated to SQL.
Database efficiency

One of the great dividends of investing in an RDBMS is that you don't have to think too much about the computer's inner life. You're the programmer and say what kinds of data you want. The computer's job is to fetch it and you don't really care how.

Philip Greenspun in "SQL for Web Nerds"

However: If data is not small, you do need to think about indexing.
Disk crash course

- Relations of large databases are usually stored on hard drives.
- Hard drives can store large amounts of data, but work rather slowly compared to the memory of a modern computer:
  - The time to access a specific piece of data is on the order of $10^6$ times slower.
  - The rate at which data can be read is on the order of 10-100 times slower.
- Time for accessing disk may be the main performance bottleneck!
Multiple disks

• Many database systems use several hard drives to
  – Enable several pieces of data to be fetched in parallel.
  – Increase the total rate of data from disk.

• Systems of several disks are often arranged in so-called RAID systems, with various levels of error resilience.

• Even in systems with many hard drives, the time used for accessing disks is usually the performance bottleneck.
**Full table scans**

When a DBMS sees a query of the form

```
SELECT *  
FROM R  
WHERE <condition>
```

the obvious thing to do is read through the tuples of R and report those tuples that satisfy the condition.

This is called a **full table scan**.
Selective queries

Consider the query from before
• If we have to report 80% of the tuples in R, it makes sense to do a full table scan.
• On the other hand, if the query is very **selective**, and returns just a small percentage of the tuples, we might hope to do better.

Is there a way of “skipping over” tuples that will not be selected?
Problem session

What ways of “going directly to the desired information” do you know?

Consider for example:

• A phone book.
• A dictionary.
• A cookbook.

Can you give examples where the equivalent of a full table scan is needed?
Point queries

• Consider a selection query with a single equality in the condition:
  
  ```sql
  SELECT *
  FROM actorInfo
  WHERE year=1975
  ```

• This is a point query: We look for a single value of “year”.

• Point queries are easy if data is sorted by the right attribute.
Range queries

• Consider a selection query of the form:
  
  ```sql
  SELECT *
  FROM actorInfo
  WHERE year>1975 and year<1994
  ```

• This is a **range query**: We look for a range of values of “year”.

• Range queries are **also** easy if data is sorted by the right attribute.
  – But often not be as selective as point queries.
Indexes

• To speed up queries the DBMS may build an index on the year attribute.

• A database index is similar to an index in the back of a book:
  – For every piece of data you might be interested in (e.g., the attribute value 1975), the index says where to find it.
  – The index itself is organized such that one can quickly do the lookup.

• Looking for information in a relation with the help of an index is called an index scan.
Primary indexes

• If the tuples of a relation are stored sorted according to some attribute, an index on this attribute is called **primary**.
  – Primary indexes make point and range queries on the key **very efficient**.

• Many DBMSs automatically build a primary index on the primary key of each relation.
  – In MySQL this depends on the storage engine: InnoDB builds a primary index, MyISAM does not.

• A primary index is sometimes referred to as a **clustering** or **sparse** index.
Secondary indexes

• It is possible to create further indexes on a relation. Typical syntax:
  
  CREATE INDEX myIndex
  ON actorInfo (year);

• The non-primary indexes are called secondary indexes (sometimes non-clustering or dense indexes)
  
  – Secondary indexes make most point queries on the key more efficient.
  
  – Secondary indexes make some range queries on the key more efficient.
Multi-attribute indexes

Defining an index on several attributes:

```
CREATE INDEX myIndex
ON actorInfo (lastname,firstname,year);
```

Speeds up point queries such as:

```
SELECT *
FROM actorInfo
WHERE firstname='Tom' and lastname='Cruise'
```

An index on several attributes usually gives index for any prefix of these attributes, due to lexicographic sorting.
Problem session

• What kinds of point and range queries are “easy” when the relation is stored as in the previous example:
  1. A range query on firstname?
  2. A range query on lastname?
  3. A point query on lastname?
  4. A point query on lastname combined with a range query on firstname?
  5. A point query on firstname combined with a range query on lastname?
Index scan vs full table scan

Point and range queries on the attribute(s) of the **primary index** are almost always best performed using an index scan.

**Secondary indexes** should be used with **high selectivity queries**: As a rule of thumb, a secondary index scan is faster than a full table scan for queries returning less than 10% of a relation.
Choosing to use an index

• The choice of whether to use an index is made by the DBMS for every instance of a query
  – May depend on query parameters
  – Don’t have to take indexes into account when writing queries

• Estimating selectivity is done using statistics
  – In MySQL, statistics is gathered by executing statements such as `ANALYZE TABLE actorInfo`
What speaks against indexing?

- **Space usage:**
  - Small for primary index
  - Similar to data size for secondary index

- **Time usage for keeping indexes updated under data insertion/change:**
  - Small to medium for primary index
  - High for secondary index (but going down in coming years?)
Other impact of indexes

The DBMS may use indexes in other situations than a simple point or range query.

- Some joins can be executed using a modest number of index lookups
  - May be faster than looking at all data
  - See exercises today

- Some queries may be executed by only looking at the information in the index
  - **Index only** query execution plan
  - May need to read much less data.
Index types

Common:
• B-trees (point queries, range queries)
• Hash tables (only point queries, but somewhat faster)

More exotic:
• Full text indexes (substring searches)
• Spatial indexes (proximity search, 2D range search,...)
• ... and thousands more
Conclusion

• Using a database from an application is a bit cumbersome, but not hard.
  – Area of development, not last word!
  – Interesting distinctions on efficiency.
• Large databases need to be equipped with suitable indexes.
  – Need some understanding of what indexes might help a given set of queries.
  – Important distinction: Primary vs secondary.
  – A detailed understanding of various index types is beyond the scope of this course.