ITU RESEARCH IN DATABASES

Based on
[PP06 sec. 1-3; PPR05 sec. 1-2; FPP06 sec. 1-2; PPT04 sec. 1-3]
(not curriculum)
Today

- ITU research in databases:
  - Scalable computation of acyclic joins.
  - Binary joins - when can it be done faster?
- A brief overview of the material of the course.
- Some example exam questions, and how they are evaluated.
Acyclic joins

- **Intuition.** Consider the graph that has:
  - Relations as vertices.
  - An edge between two vertices if there is an equality condition between the relations.
- This is called the *join graph*.
- If the join graph is *acyclic*, there is an efficient procedure for eliminating all "dangling" tuples that will not contribute to the final result. (Blackboard.)
Complexity of acyclic join

- Suppose we want to join $k$ relations of $n$ blocks in total, and that the final result has size $z$ blocks.
- What can we say about the I/O cost in general, for the classical query optimization approach?
- **Bad case:** Star schema. Computation may require $\Omega(zk)$ I/Os. (Blackboard.)
Counting-based algorithm [PP06]

- Discard the idea of computing the join as a sequence of binary joins.
- Instead, consider the whole join at once.
- **Case study (blackboard):** Star schema.
  - Observation 1: We can efficiently compute how many occurrences there will be of each tuple.
  - Observation 2: We may efficiently assign “output tuple numbers” to the occurrences of a tuple.
  - Result can be computed by sorting according to output tuple number.
Binary join computation

- You have seen two 2-pass join algorithms:
  - Sorting based. Space usage $\sqrt{B(R) + B(S)}$
  - Hashing based. Space usage $\sqrt{\min(B(R), B(S))}$
- Internal memory space usage may influence the ability to pipeline operations, evict pages from the internal memory buffer, etc.
- Can we improve the space, and/or efficiency?
  - In some cases, yes!
Method 1: Filtering [PPR05]

- **Idea**: Eliminate all (or most) "dangling" tuples that will not be part of the join result.
- Let $S$ be the set of values in the join attribute of the smallest relation.
- Let $h$ be a function that computes a short "signature" of attribute values.
- In some cases, the set $S' = \{h(x) \mid x \in S\}$ can be stored in internally. (Use 8 bits/tuple, say.)
- Then most dangling tuples $(y,...)$ of the larger relation can be removed in a single scan since $h(y)$ is not in $S'$. 
Method 2: String sorting [FPP06]

- The time for sort join grows with the size of the elements (strings) of the join attributes.

- **Idea:** Use recursion on the length of strings to quickly reduce the length of the strings considered.

- To sort we first recursively sort
Method 3: Adaptive sorting [PPT04]

- If a relation is nearly sorted according to the join attribute, we wish to be able to exploit this.
- **Idea:** Merging almost-sorted sublists can be done efficiently if we may “throw away” a few troublesome elements.
- If thrown away elements fit in internal memory, we may compute the full join by one extra scan of the relations.