Today’s lecture

- Views (postponed from last lecture).
- Basics of relational algebra.
- Relational algebra on bags and commercial RDBMSs.
- More relational algebra (and SQL).
- Algebraic laws.
In this lecture I will assume that you remember:

- The mathematical definition of a relation as a set of tuples.
- Projection and selection using SELECT–FROM–WHERE.
- Natural join.
- Nested SQL queries.
Next: Basics of relational algebra.
What is an algebra?

An algebra consists of a set of atomic operands, and a set of operators. We can form algebraic expressions by applying operators to operands (which can be atomic or expressions themselves).

Example:

In the algebra of arithmetic, the atomic operands are constants and variables, and the operators are +, -, /, and ·. Using these we can form expressions like \((x + 7)/(y - 3)) + x\).
**Relational algebra**

Relational algebra has relations as atomic operands, and various operations on relations (such as select and join) as operators. It is the mathematical basis of SQL queries.

Example relational algebra expression:

\[ \sigma_{a \geq 5}(R_1 \bowtie R_2) \cup R_3 \]

using the operators \( \sigma_{a \geq 5}, \bowtie, \) and \( \cup \) on operands \( R_1, R_2, \) and \( R_3. \)
Top reasons why relational algebra is covered in most database textbooks:

1. It gives another view of SQL queries, and thus a better understanding.

2. It is used in query optimization (to learn about this, enroll for Advanced Database Technology in spring 2004!)

3. It can be used for reasoning about relational queries and constraints.

4. It is the historical background of relational databases.
Recap of set notation

To describe the operators of relational algebra we will use mathematical notation for describing sets (recall that a relation is a set of tuples).

The notation \( \{ X \mid Y \} \) is used to describe “the set of all elements of the form \( X \) that satisfy the condition \( Y \)”.

Examples:

- The set of negative integers: \( \{ x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ (the set of integers)}, x < 0 \} \).
- The set of two-tuples of strings:
  \( \{ (x, y) \mid x \text{ is a string, and } y \text{ is a string} \} \).
Selection in relational algebra

Recall that selection is the operation of choosing the tuples in a relation satisfying some condition.

In relational algebra, the operator $\sigma_C$ is used for selection with condition $C$.

Formally, $\sigma_C(R) = \{ t \in R \mid t \text{ satisfies } C \}$. Thus:

$$\sigma_C(R)$$

corresponds in SQL to

```sql
SELECT *
FROM R
WHERE C
```
Projection in relational algebra

Recall that projection is the operation of choosing certain attributes of a relation.

In relational algebra, the operator \( \pi_{A_1, \ldots, A_n} \) is used for projection onto attributes \( A_1, \ldots, A_n \).

Formally:

\[
\pi_{A_1, \ldots, A_n}(R) = \{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \mid \text{there exists } t \in R \text{ where for all } i, \ a_i \text{ is the value of attribute } A_i \text{ of } t\}
\]
Projection in relational algebra and SQL

\[ \pi_{A_1, \ldots, A_n}(R) \]

corresponds in SQL to

```
SELECT A_1, \ldots, A_n
FROM R
```

Note that projection is the operator we use to compute relation instances in a decomposition.
Since relations are sets, we can apply the standard set operators.

- **Union:** \( R_1 \cup R_2 = \{ x \mid x \in R_1 \text{ or } x \in R_2 \} \).

- **Intersection:** \( R_1 \cap R_2 = \{ x \mid x \in R_1 \text{ and } x \in R_2 \} \).

- **Difference:** \( R_1 - R_2 = \{ x \mid x \in R_1 \text{ and } x \notin R_2 \} \).

In SQL, the above expressions correspond to, respectively:

- \( R_1 \text{ UNION } R_2 \)

- \( R_1 \text{ INTERSECT } R_2 \)

- \( R_1 \text{ EXCEPT } R_2 \)
Try to come up with a formal definition of the *natural join* operation, i.e., the join operation used to combine decomposed relation instances.

Suppose the relations to be joined are \( R_1(A_1, \ldots, A_n, B_1, \ldots, B_m) \) and \( R_2(A_1, \ldots, A_n, C_1, \ldots, C_k) \).
Natural join in relational algebra

The join operation used when recombining decomposed relations is called natural join, denoted by $\bowtie$ in relational algebra.

Suppose we have relations $R_1(A_1, \ldots, A_n, B_1, \ldots, B_m)$ and $R_2(A_1, \ldots, A_n, C_1, \ldots, C_k)$. Then formally:

$$R_1 \bowtie R_2 = \{ (a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_m, c_1, \ldots, c_k) \mid \text{there exists } t \in R_1 \text{ and } u \in R_2 \text{ with}$$

values $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ on attributes $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ of $t$ and $u$,
values $b_1, \ldots, b_m$ on attributes $B_1, \ldots, B_m$ of $t$,
and values $c_1, \ldots, c_k$ on attributes $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ of $u$\}
Natural join in relational algebra and SQL

\[ R_1 \bowtie R_2 \]

corresponds in SQL to

\[ R_1 \text{ NATURAL JOIN } R_2 \]

Natural join is the operator we use to recover the original relation in a decomposition.

Note: NATURAL JOIN is not supported in Oracle 8i installed at ITU.
Next: Relational algebra on bags and commercial RDBMSs.
In all the cases we saw, the correspondence between relational algebra and SQL queries is **not** what you might think!

Let’s look at some live examples in Oracle…
What we have seen is that relations in SQL are bags (or multisets), i.e., tuples may appear more than once.

The fact that the same attribute may occur several times is a different (and less important) issue that we won’t go into.

It is possible to define relational algebra on bags, whose operators are basically identical to those of SQL.
Features of relational algebra on bags

Relational algebra on bags is basically the same as relational algebra (on sets), without duplicate elimination.

- \( \pi_{A_1, \ldots, A_n}(R) \) has one tuple for each tuple of \( R \), even if the tuples become identical when some attributes are removed.

- \( \sigma_C(R) \) contains all tuples of \( R \) satisfying \( C \), including duplicates.

- A tuple occurs \( x \cdot y \) times in \( R_1 \bowtie R_2 \) if it was formed by combining a tuple occurring \( x \) times in \( R_1 \) with a tuple occurring \( y \) times in \( R_2 \).

- \( R_1 \cup R_2 \) contains all tuples of \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \), including duplicates. (This corresponds to \texttt{UNION ALL} in SQL.)

- \( R_1 \cap R_2 \) and \( R_1 - R_2 \) can also be defined – see book for details.

- A new 
  \textbf{duplicate elimination} operator: \( \delta(R) \) is the set of (different) tuples occurring in the bag \( R \).
The reason for using bags (rather than sets, which are easier to handle) is database efficiency.

Since efficiency is crucial for commercial RDBMSs, SQL was carefully designed to allow efficient evaluation of queries.

The reason why bags are used is that duplicate elimination is relatively costly (requires time and memory), so it is generally an advantage to use it only when necessary.
We can force duplicate elimination in a SELECT–FROM–WHERE by adding the keyword DISTINCT.

**Example:** To compute the relational algebra expression $\pi_{A_1, \ldots, A_n}(R)$ in SQL, use `SELECT DISTINCT A_1, \ldots, A_n FROM R`.

Some SQL operators, like UNION, INTERSECT, and EXCEPT, automatically perform duplicate elimination.

If we always used DISTINCT etc., the semantics of SQL would match relational algebra. However, when efficiency is an issue this is a bad idea.
Suppose that $R$ and $S$ are relations with one common attribute, $A$, and consider the expression

$$(\sigma_{C_1}(R) \cup S) \bowtie (\sigma_{C_2}(R))$$

Write SQL expressions that are equivalent to the above:

1. When interpreted as an expression in relational algebra (on sets).
2. When interpreted as an expression in relational algebra on bags.
Next: More relational algebra (and SQL).
Other kinds of join

- **Cartesian product.** \( R_1 \times R_2 \), corresponds in SQL to
  
  \[
  \text{SELECT * FROM } R_1, R_2.
  \]

- **Theta-join (\( \Theta \)-join).** \( R_1 \bowtie_C R_2 \), corresponds in SQL to
  
  \[
  \text{SELECT * FROM } R_1, R_2 \text{ WHERE } C.
  \]

- **Outerjoin.** \( R_1 \bowtie R_2 \), includes all tuples of \( R_1 \bowtie R_2 \), and further
  includes **dangling** tuples of \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) that are *not* matched with any
tuple of the other relation, padded with NULL values.

  Corresponds in SQL to \( R_1 \text{ FULL NATURAL OUTER JOIN } R_2 \).
  
  (This is not implemented in Oracle 8i installed at ITU.)

[Figure 5.19 shown on slide]
### Aggregation operators

**Aggregation** operators are used to compute facts about the values of some attribute in a relation.

The standard aggregation operators are: **SUM**, **AVG**, **MIN**, **MAX**, and **COUNT**, computing respectively the sum, average, minimum, maximum and number of the attribute values.

In relational algebra, the aggregation of attribute A in a relation R with operator $\text{OP}$ is written: $\gamma_{\text{OP}(A)}(R)$

Aggregation can be done in SQL by specifying the aggregation operator in the **SELECT** clause:

```sql
SELECT OP(A)
FROM R
```
Aggregation is most useful in connection with **grouping**, where the tuples of a relation are split into groups, for each of which the aggregate is computed.

The tuples in a relation are divided into groups based on the values of a specified set of **grouping attributes**, and the aggregate is computed for each group.

Aggregation of attribute A in a relation R with operator OP on grouping attributes $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ is written in relational algebra as $\gamma_{A_1, \ldots, A_n, OP(A)}(R)$

The SQL equivalent is

```
SELECT $A_1, \ldots, A_n$, OP(A)
FROM R
GROUP BY $A_1, \ldots, A_n$
```
When computing an aggregate, we get one tuple for each list of values of the grouping attributes. In addition to the grouping attributes, the tuple contains the aggregate value(s) for the group.

The formal definition of the grouping and aggregation operators in relational algebra depends on the operator in question. For \textit{SUM} we have:

$$
\gamma_{A_1, \ldots, A_n, \text{SUM}(A)}(R) = \left\{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, s) \mid (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \pi_{A_1, \ldots, A_n}(R) \right\}
$$

and

$$
s = \sum_{t \in \sigma_{A_1 = a_1, \ldots, A_n = a_n}(R)\pi_{A}(t)}
$$
Aggregate conditions on groups

Sometimes we wish to perform a selection of certain groups, based on an aggregate value of that group.

SQL supports a convenient way of doing this (with no direct equivalent in relational algebra):

```sql
SELECT <attributes and aggregates in the result>
FROM R
GROUP BY <grouping attributes>
HAVING <condition that may involve aggregates>
```

The `HAVING` clause may contain conditions like `MIN(year) < 1930`, where `MIN(year)` is the minimum value of the `year` attribute within the group.

**Note:** This would make no sense in a `WHERE` clause. (Why?)
Next: Algebraic laws.
Laws in relational algebra

An algebraic law is an equation (or other mathematical statement) which is always true in a particular algebra.

Using such laws we could, e.g., conclude that the following two relational algebra expressions are equivalent:

\[
((\sigma_{C_1}(R_1)) \cup R_2) \bowtie (\sigma_{C_2}(R_1)) \\
(\sigma_{C_1 \text{ AND } C_2}(R_1)) \cup (\sigma_{C_2}(R_1 \bowtie R_2))
\]

The laws of relational algebra allow us to:

- Reason about relational expressions (and thus SQL expressions).
- Reason about relational constraints (next lecture).
- Perform query optimization (next course).
Basic laws in relational algebra

Commutativity laws, examples

- \( R \bowtie S = S \bowtie R \)
- \( R \cup S = S \cup R \)
- \( R \cap S = S \cap R \)

Associativity laws, examples

- \( (R \bowtie S) \bowtie T = R \bowtie (S \bowtie T) \)
- \( (R \cup S) \cup T = R \cup (S \cup T) \)
- \( (R \cap S) \cap T = R \cap (S \cap T) \)
Argue that the equation

\[(R \Join S) \Join T = R \Join (S \Join T)\]

is indeed a valid algebraic law.

In other words: Argue that the equality holds for any relations \(R\), \(S\), and \(T\).
Distributive laws, examples

- \((R \cap S) \cup T = (R \cup T) \cap (S \cup T)\)
- \((R \cup S) \bowtie T = (R \bowtie T) \cup (S \bowtie T)\)
- \(\sigma_C(R \cup S) = \sigma_C(R) \cup \sigma_C(S)\)
- \(\pi_L(R \bowtie S) = \pi_L(\pi_L \cup J(R) \bowtie \pi_L \cup J(S))\), where \(J\) is the set of common attributes of \(R\) and \(S\).

Example of use: By the second law, the expression

\[ ((\sigma_{C_1}(R_1)) \cup R_2) \bowtie (\sigma_{C_2}(R_1)) \]

is equivalent to \(((\sigma_{C_1}(R_1)) \bowtie (\sigma_{C_2}(R_1))) \cup (R_2 \bowtie (\sigma_{C_2}(R_1)))\).
We can decompose a relation $R$ into $R_1(A_1, \ldots, A_n, B_1, \ldots, B_m)$ and $R_2(A_1, \ldots, A_n, C_1, \ldots, C_k)$ exactly when we have the equality:

$$R = (\pi_{A_1, \ldots, A_n, B_1, \ldots, B_m}(R)) \bowtie (\pi_{A_1, \ldots, A_n, C_1, \ldots, C_k}(R))$$

This is the formal way of stating that the relation instances of $R_1$ and $R_2$, derived from $R$ by projection, should always join to form $R$. 

— An algebraic criterion for decomposition —
As a minimum, you should after this week:

- Know the meaning of the most common relational algebra operators: $\cup, \cap, -, \pi, \sigma, \times, \bowtie, \gamma$.

- Be able to translate simple SQL queries to relational algebra on bags, and vice versa.

- Recognize relational algebra laws.
Next lecture (in two weeks)

Next time we will cover **constraints** and **triggers** in databases.

- Constraints are assertions that we want to be true at all times in the database.
- Relational algebra can be used for expressing and reasoning about constraints.
- Depending on the type of constraint, it is maintained by some form of **active element**, which is an action that is carried out whenever a database change might violate the constraint.
- SQL supports a powerful kind of active element, called a **trigger**.