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## The dial a ride problem (DARP)

Work in progress! Most of the material presented in this talk is from:

Jean-François Cordeau, A Branch-and-Cut Algorithm for the Dial-a-Ride Problem, technical report CRT-2004-23.

Some material is new and is based on joint work with Jean-François Cordeau and Gilbert Laporte (Canada Research Chair in Distribution Management, HEC Montréal).

## About myself

- Stefan Røpke (sropke@diku.dk)
- PhD student at DIKU
- Interested in solving routing problems using metaheuristics and exact optimization methods.


## The dial a ride problem

## The problem

- Door-to-door transportation of elderly and disabled persons (the users).
- Several users are transported in the same vehicle (think of a mini-bus).
- The users specify when they wish to be picked up and when the they have to be at their destination. Such a transportation task is denoted a request.
- The users do not specify an exact time of day, but a time window. Example: Instead of requesting a pickup at 9:11 the users request a pickup between 9:00 and 9:30.
- Often the user only specify either the pickup or delivery time window. An operator would assign the other time window.


## The dial a ride problem

- Users don't like to be taken on long detours even if it helps the overall performance of the transportation system. Consequently a maximum ride time constraint is specified for each request.

- Time windows are not enough for ensuring that the maximum ride time constraint is enforced. Example: pickup [8:00; 8:15], delivery [8:45; 9:00], max ride time 45 minutes. Pickup at 8:00 and delivery at 9:00 violates max ride time constraint. Pickup time window could be shrunk to $[8: 15 ; 8: 15]$. This would ensure that ride time constraint is enforced, but it rules out perfectly good solutions like pickup at 8:05 and delivery at 8:45.
- Each vehicle has a certain capacity (only a limited amount of seats).
- The vehicles have to start and end their tours at a given start and end terminal.
- Objective: minimize driving cost subject to the constraints mentioned above.
- Problem is NP-Hard.


## DARP example
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Possible solution:


## Branch and Bound

- Minimization problem. Main ingredients: lower and upper bound.
- High level algorithm:

1. Set of subproblems $(S o S)=\{$ Entire problem $\}$
2. Remove subproblem $S$ from $S o S$
3. Find lower and upper bound $(L B$ and $U B)$ for $S$
4. if $U B<$ global $U B(G U B)$ then $G U B=U B$
5. if $L B<G U B$ then split $S$ into two subproblems and add them to $S o S$
6. if $S o S \neq \emptyset$ then goto step 2, else return $G U B$


## DARP formal definition (Graph problem)

## Notation:

| $n$ | Number of requests. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $P=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ | Pickup locations |
| $D=\{n+1, \ldots, 2 n\}$ | Delivery locations |
| $N=P \cup D \cup\{0,2 n+1\}$ | The set of all nodes in the graph. 0 and $2 n+1$ are |
|  | the start and end terminal respectively. Request $i$ |
|  | consist of pickup $i$ and delivery $n+i$. |
| $K$ | Set of vehicles |
| $G=(N, A)$ | Directed graph on which the problem is defined. |
| $Q$ | Capacity of a vehicle |
| $q_{i}$ | Amount loaded onto vehicle at node $i . \quad q_{i}=$ |
| $\left[e_{i}, l_{i}\right]$ | time window of node $i$ |
| $d_{i}>0$ | duration of service at node $i$ |

## Standard model (DARP1)

## Decision variables

Binary variables
$x_{i j}^{k} 1$ iff the $k$ th vehicle goes straight from node $i$ to node $j$. Fractional variables
$B_{i}^{k}$ When vehicle $k$ starts visiting node $i$
$Q_{i}^{k}$ The load of vehicle $k$ after visiting node $i$.
$L_{i}^{k}$ The ride time of request $i$ on vehicle $k$.

## Standard model (DARP1)

Objective:

$$
\min \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N} c_{i j}^{k} x_{i j}^{k}
$$

Every request is served exactly once:

$$
\sum_{k \in K} \sum_{j \in N} x_{i j}^{k}=1 \quad \forall i \in P
$$

Same vehicle services pickup and delivery:

$$
\sum_{j \in N} x_{i j}^{k}-\sum_{j \in N} x_{n+i, j}^{k}=0 \quad \forall i \in P, k \in K
$$

Every vehicle leaves the start terminal:

$$
\sum_{j \in N} x_{0 j}^{k}=1 \quad \forall k \in K
$$

The same vehicle that enters a node leaves the node:

$$
\sum_{j \in N} x_{j i}^{k}-\sum_{j \in N} x_{i j}^{k}=0 \quad \forall i \in P \cup D, k \in K
$$

Every vehicle enters the end terminal:

$$
\sum_{i \in N} x_{i, 2 n+1}^{k}=1 \quad \forall k \in K
$$

## Standard model (DARP1)

Setting and checking visit time:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{j}^{k} \geq\left(B_{i}^{k}+d_{i}+t_{i j}\right) x_{i j}^{k} & \forall i \in N, j \in N, k \in K \\
e_{i} \leq B_{i}^{k} \leq l_{i} & \forall i \in N, k \in K
\end{aligned}
$$

Linearization of first equation ( $M_{i j}^{k}$ is a large constant):

$$
B_{j}^{k} \geq B_{i}^{k}+d_{i}+t_{i j}-M_{i j}^{k}\left(1-x_{i j}^{k}\right) \quad \forall i \in N, j \in N, k \in K
$$

Setting and checking ride time:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L_{i}^{k}=B_{n+i}^{k}-\left(B_{i}^{k}+d_{i}\right) & \forall i \in P, k \in K \\
L_{i}^{k} \leq L & \forall i \in N, k \in K
\end{array}
$$

Setting and checking vehicle load:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{j}^{k} \geq\left(Q_{i}^{k}+q_{j}\right) x_{i j}^{k} & \forall i \in N, j \in N, k \in K \\
Q_{i}^{k} \leq Q & \forall i \in N, k \in K
\end{array}
$$

Binary variables:

$$
x_{i j}^{k} \in\{0,1\} \quad \forall i \in N, j \in N, k \in K
$$

## Preprocessing

- Shrink time windows. For example if $l_{i}=10, l_{n+i}=$ $20, d_{i}=2$ and $t_{i, n+i}=12$ then $l_{i}$ can be reduced to 6 .
- Remove edges from $G$ that cannot be part of a feasible solution.
- Some examples
- Edges that are impossible because of time windows
- Edges of the type $(n+i, i) \forall i \in P$
- Edges of the type $(0, n+i) \forall i \in P$ and $(i, 2 n+1) \forall i \in$ $P$
- Edges that are impossible because of ride time constraints. Edge $(i, j)$ can be removed if $j \neq n+i$ and the trip $i \rightarrow j \rightarrow n+i$ violates the ride time constraint of request $i$
- Preprocessing is fast and easy to do, but can have a significant impact on the running time of the algorithm.


## Some results

- Solving (DARP1) using CPLEX 8.0 on 2.5 Ghz Pentium 4

| Instance | Bound | CPU (min) | Nodes | Opt |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| a2-16 | $* 294.25$ | 0.01 | 23 | 294.25 |
| a2-20 | $* 344.83$ | 0.05 | 313 | 344.83 |
| a2-24 | $* 431.12$ | 1.42 | 10,868 | 431.12 |
| a3-18 | $* 300.48$ | 0.41 | 3,596 | 300.48 |
| a3-24 | $* 344.83$ | 76.59 | 310,667 | 344.83 |
| a3-30 | 472.17 | 240.00 | 515,931 | 494.85 |
| a3-36 | 570.26 | 240.00 | 504,553 | 583.19 |
| a4-16 | $* 282.68$ | 21.49 | 145,680 | 282.68 |
| a4-24 | 359.52 | 240.00 | 442,000 | 375.02 |
| a4-32 | 427.65 | 240.00 | 189,900 | 485.50 |
| a4-40 | 462.21 | 240.00 | 65,000 | 557.69 |
| a4-48 | 466.7 | 240.00 | 40,400 | 668.82 |
| b2-16 | $* 309.41$ | 0.21 | 5,815 | 309.41 |
| b2-20 | $* 332.64$ | 0.01 | 26 | 332.64 |
| b2-24 | $* 444.71$ | 2.76 | 32,399 | 444.71 |
| b3-18 | $* 301.64$ | 1.29 | 12,223 | 301.65 |
| b3-24 | $* 394.51$ | 7.27 | 42,950 | 394.51 |
| b3-30 | $* 531.44$ | 189.74 | 574,281 | 531.45 |
| b3-36 | 588.44 | 240.00 | 447,474 | 603.79 |
| b4-16 | $* 296.96$ | 2.44 | 20,189 | 296.96 |
| b4-24 | $* 369.36$ | 59.31 | 175,495 | 369.36 |
| b4-32 | 460.78 | 240.00 | 222,600 | 494.82 |
| b4-40 | 570.37 | 240.00 | 153,400 | 656.63 |
| b4-48 | 577.64 | 240.00 | 50,000 | 673.81 |

## Preprocessing pays off

- Some examples (Cplex 9.0 on 3.0 Ghz Pentium 4).

|  | Preprocessing |  | No preprocessing |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | CPU (min) | Nodes | CPU (min) | Nodes |
| a2-20 | 0.07 | 332 | 0.31 | 1500 |
| b3-24 | 3.91 | 16773 | 30.37 | 80161 |

- (DARP1) had $O\left(|N|^{2}|K|\right)$ binary variables. If we could get rid of the $k$ index on the $x_{i j}^{k}$ variables then the number of binary variables could be reduced to $O\left(|N|^{2}\right)$, which hopefully would make the problem easier to solve.
- (DARP2) - model where the $k$ index is stripped from all variables. The variables have the same meaning as in (DARP1), they are just no longer associated with a specefic vehicle.


## Compact model (DARP2)

Objective:

$$
\min \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N} c_{i j} x_{i j}
$$

One vehicle enters every user node and one vehicle leaves every user node:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in N} x_{i j}=1 \quad \forall i \in P \\
& \sum_{i \in N} x_{i j}=1 \quad \forall j \in P
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting and checking visit time:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\quad B_{j} \geq\left(B_{i}+d_{i}+t_{i j}\right) x_{i j} & \forall i \in N, j \in N \\
e_{i} \leq B_{i} \leq l_{i} & \forall i \in N
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting and checking ride time:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L_{i}=B_{n+i}-\left(B_{i}+d_{i}\right) & \forall i \in P \\
L_{i} \leq L & \forall i \in N
\end{array}
$$

Setting and checking vehicle load:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{j} \geq\left(Q_{i}+q_{j}\right) x_{i j} & \forall i \in N, j \in N \\
Q_{i} \leq Q & \forall i \in N \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

Binary variables:

$$
x_{i j} \in\{0,1\} \quad \forall i \in N, j \in N
$$

## Compact model (DARP2)

- Problem: The model does not guarantee that the pickup and delivery of a request are performed by the same vehicle. To ensure this we first define the set $\mathcal{S}$ consisting of all node subsets $S \subset N$ such that there is at least one request $i$ for which $i \in S$ but $n+i \notin S$.
- Now the following set of equations (precedence constraints) ensure that each pickup/delivery pair is served by the same vehicle.

$$
\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in N \backslash S} x_{i j} \geq 1 \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{S}
$$

The equation simply express that one edge should leave the set (we have to leave the set in order to visit $n+i$ ).

Example 1:


## Compact model (DARP2)

Example 2 (precedence is also ensured by the constraint):


- New problem: $\mathcal{S}$ grows exponentially with $n$. Constraints must be generated dynamically.
- Given fractional solution $\bar{x}$ a violated precedence constraint can be found using the following algorithm.

1. Construct a weighted graph $\bar{G}=(N, \bar{A})$ where $\bar{A}=$ $\left\{(i, j) \in A ; \overline{x_{i j}}>0\right.$. Each edge ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) in $\bar{A}$ has an associated weight $w_{i j}=\overline{x_{i j}}$
2. for all $i$ in $P$ do
(a) Find the minimum cut between $i$ and $n+i$ in $\bar{G}$
(b) If the weight of the minimum cut is less than 1 then a violated inequality has been found

- The correctness of the algorithm follows easily
- If the weight of minimum cut is less than 1 then the cut identifies a set $S$ that violates the inequality
- If the weight of minimum cut is greater than or equal to 1 for all $i$ then we can show by contradiction that no precedence constraint will be violated.


## Comparing DARP1 to DARP2

- DARP1: Certain extra constraints are easier to represent like:
- Heterogenous fleet
- Route duration constraints
- DARP1 can be solved directly using CPLEX, DARP2 needs special implementation.
- DARP2 is expected to solve problems faster


## Valid inequalities



## Valid inequalities - some examples

Subtour elimination constraints


$$
x_{i j}+x_{j i}+x_{j k}+x_{k j}+x_{k i}+x_{i k} \leq 2
$$

Lifting for directed case:


$$
x_{i j}+2 x_{j i}+x_{j k}+x_{k i} \leq 2
$$

Lifting for DARP case:


$$
x_{i j}+2 x_{j i}+x_{j k}+x_{k i}+x_{n+j, i}+x_{n+k, i} \leq 2
$$

General expression and more liftings described in paper.
Separation algorithms?

## Generalized order constraints


$x_{i, n+j}+x_{n+j, i}+x_{j, n+k}+x_{n+k, j}+x_{k, n+i}+x_{n+i, k} \leq 2$

Lifting for directed case:


$$
x_{i, n+j}+x_{n+j, i}+x_{j, n+k}+x_{n+k, j}+x_{k, n+i}+x_{n+i, k}+x_{i j}+x_{i, n+k} \leq 2
$$

Separation algorithms?

## Capacity constraints

$$
q(S)=\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in N \backslash S} x_{i j} \geq\left\lceil\frac{q(S)}{Q}\right\rceil \quad \forall S \subseteq P \cup D
$$

Separation algorithms?

## Infeasible path constraints

If the path $i_{1} \rightarrow i_{2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow i_{h}$ is infeasible because of time window or ride time constraints (or a combination) then the following inequality is valid:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} x_{i, i+1} \leq h-2
$$

Can be separated in polynomial time.

## Even more compact model (DARP3)

Using some of the inequalities just presented, we can get rid of the $B_{i}, Q_{i}$ and $L_{i}$ variables.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N} c_{i j} x_{i j} \\
\sum_{j \in N} x_{i j}=1 \quad \forall i \in P \\
\sum_{i \in N} x_{i j}=1 \quad \forall j \in P \\
\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in N \backslash S} x_{i j} \geq 1 \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{S}
\end{gathered}
$$

Infeasible path inequality that ensures that time window, capacities and ride time constraints are obeyed. $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of all infeasible paths. Each path in $\mathcal{P}$ is stored as a set of edges.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(i, j) \in E^{*}} x_{i j} \leq\left|E^{*}\right|-1 \forall E^{*} \in \mathcal{P} \\
& x_{i j} \in\{0,1\} \quad \forall i \in N, j \in N
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computational results

See other slide

## Conclusion

- A more compact model in terms of number of binary variables was profitable.
- Getting rid of the "superflous" fractional variables didn't improve running time.
- We have just scratched the surface. There are more to tell, and even more to discover.
- Plenty of open algorithmic questions - how to design good separation routines?

