# Formalisation: Chain of events—Modular Process Models for the Law

### Søren Debois

July 2, 2020

# Contents

1	Notation	1
2	Support and projections	<b>2</b> 3
	2.1 Support and projection of words and languages	3
3	Refinement	4
4	Networks	7
	4.1 Actions	7
5	Transition semantic	11
$\mathbf{th}$	eory Notation	
	imports Main	
	HOL-Library.LaTeXsugar	
	HOL-Library.OptionalSugar	
	HOL-Library.Adhoc_Overloading	
bε	egin	

# 1 Notation

Various objects, notably DCR graphs, have an associated notion of well-formedness, e.g., a string is well-formed wrt. some alphabet if every letter in the string is a member of that alphabet. We use the notation wf for all well-formedness predicates

### consts wf :: 't

Many objects of interest—DCR markings and relations, labels, strings, languages—can be thought of as being built from underlying objects of some type. E.g., a word or string is built from letters chosen from some alphabet, a DCR marking is built from a set of events. We codify this observation in the

notion of the support support x of some object x. For a particular string, the support of the string will be the set of symbols in the string; for a DCR graph, the set of events mentioned in the graph.

All the supported objects we consider also have a notion of projection  $\pi$  E x, where we derive from x a new object by taking away all the building blocks not in E. Again, for a string, we drop symbols, so  $\pi$  {1::'b, 2::'b} [1::'c, 2::'c, 3::'c] = [1::'a, 2::'a]; for DCR graphs, we remove events and any referencing relations.

We will pose some requirements on the interplay of support and projection and see some concrete examples (partial maps, strings, languages) later. For now, we note just the notation. Note that we generally write projection as  $\pi$  E x rather than the more cumbersome projection E x.

#### consts

```
support :: 't \Rightarrow 'a set projection :: 'a set \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't (\pi)
```

We use the following types for words and languages.

```
type_synonym 'a word = 'a list
type_synonym 'a language = 'a word set
```

Note that we cannot use Isabelle's built-in type string, which is an alias for char list, since we will need to work with strings over arbitrary alphabets. However, the string type is nonetheless helpful for examples, so to avoid conflicts, we use the term "word" as opposed to "string".

```
no_notation (latex) Cons (_ ·/ _ [66,65] 65)

end
theory Projection
imports
Main
Notation
HOL-Library.Finite_Map
begin
```

# 2 Support and projections

We say that a type 't is *supported over* 'a set when the support and the projection  $\pi$  satisfies that (a) the support of projection at E is contained in E, and (b) the projection at some set E is the identity exactly when E is *smaller* than the support.

```
locale supported =
  fixes support :: 't ⇒ 'a set
  and projection :: 'a set ⇒ 't ⇒ 't
```

```
assumes sound[simp]: support (projection E t) ⊆ E

— The support of projection onto E is contained in E.

and tight[iff]: (support t ⊆ E) ←→ (projection E t = t)

— Projection is non-trivial iff we are projecting onto a proper subset of the support.
```

```
adhoc_overloading support dom adhoc_overloading projection \lambda E f . f | ' E
```

### 2.1 Support and projection of words and languages

Both words and languages are supported: for words, the support is the set of element in it; for languages we lift the support of words pointwise.

```
\operatorname{definition} \ \operatorname{support}_w :: \ \text{`a word} \ \Rightarrow \ \text{`a set}
   where support_w w \equiv set w
\mathbf{definition} \ \mathtt{support}_L \ \colon \text{`a language} \ \Rightarrow \ \text{`a set}
   where support_L L \equiv \bigcup \{ support_w w \mid w . w \in L \}
adhoc_overloading
   support supportw supportL
\mathbf{definition} project_w :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a word \Rightarrow 'a word
   where project<sub>w</sub> Y w \equiv List.filter (\lambda x . x \in Y) w
\operatorname{definition} \ \operatorname{project}_{\mathsf{L}} :: \ \text{`a set} \ \Rightarrow \ \text{`a language} \ \Rightarrow \ \text{`a language}
   where project<sub>L</sub> Y L \equiv (project<sub>w</sub> (Y :: 'a set)) ' (L :: 'a language)
adhoc_overloading
  projection project_w project_L
lemmas language_simps =
   support_w_def support_L_def project_w_def project_L_def
interpretation supp_word: supported
   \operatorname{support}_w :: \ \text{`a word} \Rightarrow \ \text{`a set}
  \mathtt{project}_w :: \texttt{`a set} \Rightarrow \texttt{`a word} \Rightarrow \texttt{`a word}
   \langle proof \rangle
interpretation supp_language: supported
   support_L :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a set
  project_L :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language
   \langle proof \rangle
```

context

```
notes language_simps[simp]
  fixes L L1 L2 :: 'a language and E :: 'a set
begin
Alternatively, we can use the following more familiar definition of languages.
  lemma alt_project_lang_def[code_abbrev]:
      shows \pi E L = { \pi E s | s . s \in L }
      \langle proof \rangle
  lemma support_word_lang[simp,elim]:
      \mathtt{w} \,\in\, \mathtt{L} \,\Longrightarrow\, \mathtt{support}\,\,\mathtt{w} \,\subseteq\, \mathtt{support}\,\,\mathtt{L}
      \langle proof \rangle
  lemma support_lang_empty[simp]:
      \pi E \{\} = \{\} \langle proof \rangle
  lemma support_lang_monotone:
      L1 \subseteq L2 \Longrightarrow support L1 \subseteq support L2
      \langle proof \rangle
  lemma support_word_lang_elim[elim]:
      assumes a \in support L
      obtains w where w \in L a \in support w
      \langle proof \rangle
  lemma project_string_alphabet_weak[iff]:
      \llbracket \ \mathtt{w} \in \mathtt{L}; \ \mathtt{support} \ \mathtt{L} \subseteq \mathtt{E} \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \pi \ \mathtt{E} \ \mathtt{w} = \mathtt{w}
      \langle proof \rangle
end
end
       Refinement
3
theory Refinement
  imports
     Main
     Projection
begin
\textbf{definition refines'} \ :: \ \texttt{'a language} \ \Rightarrow \ \texttt{'a set} \ \Rightarrow \ \texttt{'a language} \ \Rightarrow \ \texttt{bool}
   where
      refines' L1 X L2 \equiv \pi X L1 \subseteq L2
Introduced for DCR in [?, Def. 4.9].
```

```
definition refines
Definition 8
                   where
                      refines L1 L2 \equiv refines' L1 (support L2) L2
                lemma refines_subset[intro]:
                   fixes L1 L2 :: 'a language
                   assumes L1 \subseteq L2
                   shows refines L1 L2
                \langle proof \rangle
                lemma refines_intersection:
                   shows refines (L1 \cap L2) L1
                   \langle proof \rangle
                lemma refines_ident[intro,simp]:
                   shows refines L L
                   \langle proof \rangle
                lemma refines_explicit[iff]:
                   fixes P \mathbb Q :: 'a language
                   \mathbf{shows} \ \mathbf{refines} \ \mathsf{P} \ \mathsf{Q} \ \longleftrightarrow \ (\mathsf{project}_\mathsf{L} \ (\mathsf{support}_\mathsf{L} \ \mathsf{Q}) \ \mathsf{P} \subseteq \mathsf{Q})
                   \langle proof \rangle
                end
                theory Transition_System
                   imports Main
                begin
                locale transition_system =
                   \mathbf{fixes} \ \mathtt{move} \ \colon \texttt{`state} \ \Rightarrow \ \texttt{`action} \ \Rightarrow \ \texttt{`state} \ \Rightarrow \ \mathtt{bool}
                begin
                      definition enabled :: 'state \Rightarrow 'action set where
                         enabled s \equiv \{ a . (\exists s' . move s a s') \}
                   inductive run where
                      empty[intro!]: run s0 []
                   | move[intro!]: [ move s1 a s2 ; run s2 r ] \Longrightarrow run s1 ((a,s2) # r)
                   inductive_cases run_elim': run s1 ((a, s2) # r)
                   lemma run_elim[elim!]:
                      assumes run s1 (x # r)
```

```
obtains a s2 where move s1 a s2 run s2 r x = (a, s2)
    \langle proof \rangle
  abbreviation target s r \equiv (if r = [] then s else snd (List.last r))
  lemma run_intro_append_move[intro]:
    assumes run s r move (target s r) a s'
    shows run s (r @ [(a, s')])
    \langle proof \rangle
  lemma run_intro_append_run[intro]:
    assumes run s r run (target s r) t
    shows run s (r @ t)
    \langle proof \rangle
  lemma run_elim_append[elim]:
    assumes run s (r 0 t)
    shows run s r
    \langle proof \rangle
  inductive_set reachable for s where
    here[intro!]: s \in reachable s
  | there[intro!]: [s1 \in reachable s; move s1 a s2] \implies s2 \in reachable
  inductive\_cases \ reachable\_elim[elim]: \ \texttt{s'} \in \texttt{reachable} \ \texttt{s}
  lemma reachable_intro_append[trans]:
    assumes s1 \in reachable s0 s2 \in reachable s1
    shows s2 \in reachable s0
    \langle proof \rangle
  lemma reachable_intro_rev[intro!]:
    assumes move s1 a s2 s \in reachable s2
    shows s \in reachable s1
    \langle proof \rangle
  lemma reachable_run[iff]:
    (s \in reachable s0) = ((s = s0) \vee (\exists\, r . run s0 r \wedge target s0 r =
s))
  \langle proof \rangle
  lemma reachable_by_run:
```

```
(s \in reachable s0) = (\existsr . run s0 r \land ((s = s0) \lor target s0 r =
s))
    \langle proof \rangle
  abbreviation trace_of r \equiv map fst r
  definition trace[iff]:
    trace s t \equiv \exists r. run s r \land t = trace_of r
  definition lang s = { t . trace s t }
  lemma lang_runs[iff]:
    (lang s) = { trace_of r | r . run s r }
    \langle proof \rangle
end
end
theory Network
  imports
    Refinement
    HOL-Eisbach. Eisbach
    HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach_Tools
    Transition_System
begin
```

# 4 Networks

This section formalises Network as introduced in [?]. Networks are mechanisms to compose DCR graphs. The behaviour of a network is defined in terms of the transitions of its constituent graphs at the level of actions. Behaviour is composed by synchronising on *actions*, somewhat like in CSP.

### 4.1 Actions

```
datatype 'lab action =
    lim: Lim 'lab
    | unl: Unl 'lab
```

There are two kinds of action. A *limited action* action.Lim 1 indicates that a network is willing to allow the underlying action 1, but will not produce it independently. An *unlimited action* Unlim 1 indicates that a network will produce that action independently.

Both kinds of action has an underlying label. In the paper, the operator to retrieve the underlying label is called  $@term\gamma$ ; here, it is convenient to use the alphanumeric name @termlabel.

```
abbreviation label a \equiv (case a of Lim 1 \Rightarrow 1 | Unl 1 \Rightarrow 1)
```

For parallel composition of networks, we define an operator which combines two actions 11, 12 with the same label. The resulting action 1 is limited if both 11 ad 12 are, unlimited otherwise.

### definition

```
join 11 12 13 \equiv label 11 = label 12 \land label 12 = label 13 \land lim 13 = (lim 11 \land lim 12)

join 11.0 12.0 13.0 \equiv label 11.0 = label 12.0 \land label 12.0 = label 13.0
```

We demonstrate that join means what it should.

 $\wedge$  action.lim 13.0 = (action.lim 11.0  $\wedge$  action.lim 12.0)

```
lemma join_characterisation:
```

```
join x y z = (\existse .

(x = Lim e \land y = Lim e \land z = Lim e) \lor

(x = Lim e \land y = Unl e \land z = Unl e) \lor

(x = Unl e \land y = Lim e \land z = Unl e) \lor

(x = Unl e \land y = Unl e \land z = Unl e))

\langle proof \rangle locale Process = fixes labels :: 'proc \Rightarrow 'lab set and excluded :: 'proc \Rightarrow 'lab set and step :: 'proc \Rightarrow 'lab \Rightarrow 'proc \Rightarrow bool assumes step_lab: step P 1 \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow 1 \in labels P
```

begin

and step\_det:

Definition 5

Technically, we have restricted ourselves to a single underlying process notation; however, note that if the sets of processes are disjoint, we can always combine two distinct notations into one simply by forming the union of their @termstep relations.

step P l Q1  $\Longrightarrow$  step P l Q2  $\Longrightarrow$  Q1 = Q2

and step\_lab\_pres: step P1 1 P2  $\Longrightarrow$  labels P1 = labels P2

Syntactically, a network is a collection of processes, possibly linked with the Link 1 ls N construct.

```
fun alph where
Figure 2 alph (Proc P) = labels P
```

```
| alph (Link x xs N) = alph N - \{x\} \cup xs
               | alph (Network N1 N2) = alph N1 \cup alph N2
               | alph Zero = {}
            abbreviation
               actions (N :: ('lab,'proc) network) \equiv
                   { Lim x | x . x \in alph N } \cup { Unl x | x . x \in alph N }
Figure 3
           inductive nt :: ('lab,'proc) network \Rightarrow 'lab action \Rightarrow ('lab,'proc) network
            \Rightarrow bool (_ -_\rightarrow _)
              where
                 Excl: [x \in labels P ; x \in excluded P] \Longrightarrow
                               nt (Proc P) (Lim x) (Proc P)
               | Link1: [ nt N (Unl x) N' ; l \in xs ] \Longrightarrow
                               nt (Link x xs N) (Lim 1) (Link x xs N')
               | Link2: [\![ nt N l N' ; label l \notin {x} \cup xs ]\!] \Longrightarrow
                               nt (Link x xs N) l (Link x xs N')
               | Step:
                           \llbracket step P1 x P2; x \notin excluded P1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow nt (Proc P1) (Unl x)
            (Proc P2)
               | Sync:
                           \llbracket nt N1 11 N1'; nt N2 12 N2'; join 11 12 1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
                               nt (Network N1 N2) l (Network N1', N2')
               | Pass1: \llbracket label 1 \notin alph N1 ; nt N2 1 N2' \rrbracket ⇒
                               nt (Network N1 N2) l (Network N1 N2')
               | Pass2: [ nt N1 l N1'; label l \notin alph N2 ] \Longrightarrow
                               nt (Network N1 N2) l (Network N1', N2)
                                       x \in labels P \land x \in excluded P
                                       \texttt{Proc} \ \texttt{P} \ -\texttt{action.Lim} \ \texttt{x} {\longrightarrow} \ \texttt{Proc} \ \texttt{P}
                                           N -Unl x\rightarrow N' \wedge 1 \in xs
                               \texttt{Link} \ \texttt{x} \ \texttt{xs} \ \texttt{N} \ -\texttt{action.Lim} \ \texttt{l} \! \to \ \texttt{Link} \ \texttt{x} \ \texttt{xs} \ \texttt{N'}
                                      N - 1 \rightarrow N' \land label 1 \notin \{x\} \cup xs
                                       Link x xs N -1 \rightarrow Link x xs N'
                                  step P1.0 x P2.0 \wedge x \notin excluded P1.0
                                        Proc P1.0 -Unl x\rightarrow Proc P2.0
                    N1.0 -11.0\rightarrow N1' \wedge N2.0 -12.0\rightarrow N2' \wedge join 11.0 12.0 1
                                 Network N1.0 N2.0 -1 \rightarrow Network N1' N2'
                                  label 1 \notin alph N1.0 \wedge N2.0 -1 \rightarrow N2'
                                Network N1.0 N2.0 -1 \rightarrow Network N1.0 N2,
                                  N1.0 -l \rightarrow N1' \wedge label 1 \notin alph N2.0
                                Network N1.0 N2.0 -1 \rightarrow Network N1' N2.0
            inductive_cases nt_network
               [elim, consumes 1, case_names Sync Pass1 Pass2]:
              nt (Network N1 N2) t N'
           inductive_cases nt_proc[elim]:
              nt (Proc P) t N'
```

```
inductive_cases nt_link[elim]:
            nt (Link x xs N) t N'
          method rule_inversion uses nt =
            ( (cases rule: nt_network[ case_names Sync Pass1 Pass2])
               | (cases rule: nt_proc[consumes 1, case_names Excl Step])
               | (cases rule: nt_link[consumes 1, case_names Link1 Link2])
          lemma nt_action_in_alph[elim]:
            assumes t: nt N1 1 N2
            shows label 1 \in alph N1
            \langle proof \rangle
          lemma nt_action_not_in_alph[elim]:
            assumes label l \notin alph N1
            \mathbf{shows} \ \neg \ \mathsf{nt} \ \mathsf{N1} \ \mathsf{1} \ \mathsf{N2}
            \langle proof \rangle
          lemma nt_alph_preserved[elim]:
            assumes nt N1 1 N2
            shows (e \in alph N1) = (e \in alph N2)
          \langle proof \rangle
          lemma nt_proc_proc[elim]:
            assumes nt (\operatorname{Proc} P) a N
            shows \exists! P' . N = Proc P'
            \langle proof \rangle
          lemma weak_preimage_excluded:
            assumes nt (Proc P) (Lim x) Q
            shows x \in excluded P \text{ and } Q = Proc P
          \langle proof \rangle
          lemma \  \, {\tt nt\_proc\_action\_deterministic[elim]:}
            assumes nt (Proc P) 11 N1 nt (Proc P) 12 N2 label 11 = label 12
            shows 11 = 12 \land N1 = N2
            \langle proof \rangle lemma nt_action_deterministic[elim]:
Lemma 11
            assumes nt N x1 N1 nt N x2 N2 label x1 = label x2
```

```
shows N1 = N2
\langle proof \rangle
lemma
  assumes nt (Link 1 ls N1) x N2
  shows unl x \Longrightarrow label x \notin ls
  \langle proof \rangle
      Transition semantic
5
definition nt_enabled
  where
     nt_{enabled} = N \equiv \exists N'. nt N = N'
definition nt_execute
  where
     nt_{execute} = N \equiv THE N' . nt N = N'
lemma nt_function:
  assumes nt N l N1 nt N l N2
  shows N1 = N2 \langle proof \rangle
lemma nt_enabled_may_execute:
  assumes nt_enabled e N
  shows \exists! N' . nt N e N'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma nt_execute_function[iff]:
  {\bf assumes} \ {\tt nt\_enabled} \ {\tt e} \ {\tt N}
  shows (nt_execute e N = N') = nt N e N'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma nt_to_execute[iff]:
  assumes nt N e N'
  shows nt_execute e N = N'
  \langle proof \rangle
interpretation nt: transition_system
  nt \langle proof \rangle
lemma nt_enabled a N = (a : nt.enabled N)
  \langle proof \rangle definition unlimited :: 'lab set \Rightarrow ('lab, 'proc) network \Rightarrow bool
where
```

Definition 9

```
unlimited X NO \equiv
      \forall \, \texttt{N'} \ \texttt{a} \ . \ \texttt{label} \ \texttt{a} \in \, \texttt{X} \, \land \, \texttt{N'} \, \in \, \texttt{nt.reachable} \, \, \texttt{NO} \, \land \, \texttt{a} \, \in \, \texttt{nt.enabled} \, \, \texttt{N'}
                        \longrightarrow unl a
lemma limitation_preservation[intro]:
   assumes unlimited X N1 nt N1 l N2
   shows unlimited X N2
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma nt_action_in_actions[elim]:
   assumes nt N1 1 N2
   \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{l} \ \in \ \mathtt{actions} \ \mathtt{N1}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma action_iff_actions:
   \mathbf{shows} \ (\mathtt{label} \ \mathtt{l} \ \in \ \mathtt{alph} \ \mathtt{N}) \ \texttt{=} \ (\mathtt{l} \ \in \ \mathtt{actions} \ \mathtt{N})
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma \  \, \texttt{nt\_trace\_in\_actions[intro]:}
   fixes N :: ('lab,'proc) network
   assumes nt.run N r
   shows \ \texttt{set} \ (\texttt{nt.trace\_of} \ \texttt{r}) \ \subseteq \ \texttt{actions} \ \texttt{N}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma nt_preserves_actions:
   assumes nt N1 1 N2
   shows actions N1 = actions N2 \langle proof \rangle
lemma nt_actions:
   \texttt{support (nt.lang N)} \subseteq \texttt{actions N}
\langle proof \rangle
fun select where
      select f [] = []
   | select f (x # xs) =
            (case f x of
                Some x \Rightarrow x # select f xs
             | None \Rightarrow select f xs)
abbreviation proj1 where
      proj1 X x \equiv
          (case x of
                (a, Network N1 N2) \Rightarrow
                    (if a \in X then Some (a, N1) else None)
             I \ \_ \Rightarrow 	exttt{None})
```

```
abbreviation
                  \Gamma X t \equiv (select (proj1 X) t)
               abbreviation
                  is\_network N \equiv
                     (case N of (Network _ _) \Rightarrow True | _ \Rightarrow False)
               We do not define "trace" independently, going instead directly for the notion
               of language.
               definition
                  lang (N :: ('lab,'proc) network) \equiv
Definition 7
                    { map label t | t . nt.trace N t \land list_all unl t }
               lemma independent_run:
                  fixes N1 :: ('lab,'proc) network
                 assumes unlimited X N1 alph N2 \cap alph N1 \subseteq X
                 assumes nt.run (Network N1 N2) t
                 shows nt.run N1 (\Gamma (actions N1) t) \wedge
                              nt.trace_of (\Gamma (actions N1) t) = \pi (actions N1) (nt.trace_of
               t)
               ⟨proof⟩ lemma independent_trace:
   Lemma 12
                  assumes unlimited X N1 alph N2 \cap alph N1 \subseteq X
                 assumes nt.trace (Network N1 N2) t
                            nt.trace N1 (\pi (actions N1) t)
                 \mathbf{shows}
               \langle proof \rangle
               lemma independent_string:
                  assumes unlimited X N1 alph N2 \cap alph N1 \subseteq X
                             s \in lang (Network N1 N2)
                 shows
                             \pi (alph N1) s \in lang N1
               \langle proof \rangle theorem refinement:
 Theorem 13
                 fixes T M
                 assumes unlimited X P
                 \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathbf{alph} \ \mathtt{N} \ \cap \ \mathbf{alph} \ \mathtt{P} \subseteq \mathtt{X}
                            refines' (lang (Network P N)) (alph P) (lang P)
                 \mathbf{shows}
                  \langle proof \rangle
               lemma proc_reachable:
                 assumes N \in nt.reachable (Proc P)
                 shows \exists P'. \mathbb{N} = \text{Proc } P'
                  ⟨proof⟩ lemma unlimited_proc:
    Lemma 10
                 \mathbf{assumes} \  \, \big \backslash \  \, \mathtt{N} \  \, . \  \, \mathtt{N} \, \in \, \mathtt{nt.reachable} \  \, \mathtt{(Proc} \, \, \mathtt{P)} \, \Longrightarrow \,
                                  (\Q\ .\ N = Proc\ Q \implies excluded\ Q \cap X = \{\})
```

```
shows unlimited X (Proc P) \langle proof \rangle end end
```